UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,019	03/03/2005	Guillaume Sebire	879A.0030.U1(US)	3593
	7590 11/17/200 N & SMITH, PC		EXAMINER	
4 RESEARCH	DRIVE, Suite 202		BALAOING, ARIEL A	
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/501,019	SEBIRE ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ARIEL BALAOING	2617				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>ARIEL BALAOING</u> .	(3)					
(2) WALTER MALINOWSKI (Reg no 43,423).	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>11/13/2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	r)∏ applicant's representative	;]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>10 and 18</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: MILDH et al (US 2002/0193139).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner agrees that cell information as described in MILDH is include in only a first message sent in a broadcast channel (see page 2, point 3) and that MILDH broadcast a command and not an availability message. Further search and consideration would be required in view of a formal reponse. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Ariel Balaoing/						